

TABLE 1.—NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST, NEW FINAL SITES (BY RANK), OCTOBER 1989—Continued

	NPL Group 1 Rank	State	Site Name	City/County
10	473	FL	Sydney Mine Sludge Ponds	Brandon
10	474	NM	Cimarron Mining Corp	Carlsboro
10	489	MO	St Louis Airport/HRS/Pd Coatings	St. Louis County
10	487	RI	Rose Hill Regional Landfill	South Kingstown
11	504	CT	Berthamel-New Hartford Landfill	Berthamel
11	513	FL	Chemform, Inc	Pompano Beach
11	516	SC	Lexington County Landfill Area	Cayce
11	519	UT	Utah Power&Light/American Barrel	Salt Lake City
11	546	VA	Saunders Supply Co	Chuckatuck
12	553	SC	Rochester Property	Travelers Rest
12	574	VT	Tanator Electronics, Inc	Bennington
12	585	DE	Dover Gas Light Co	Dover
12	590	PA	North Penn—Area 2	Hatfield
12	596	NM	Pagan Salvage	Los Lunas
13	601	CA	Fresno Municipal Sanitary Landfill	Fresno
13	615	CA	Jasco Chemical Corp	Mountain View
13	619	VA	Obde Cavens County Landfill	Salem
13	635	PA	Bell Landfill	Terry Township
14	662	WI	Sauk County Landfill	Essex
14	677	CT	Durham Meadows	Durham
14	687	MO	Kem-Pest Laboratories	Cape Girardeau
14	696	MI	Albion-Sheridan Township Landfill	Albion
15	736	NC	Geigy Chemical Corp (Aberdeen Pl)	Aberdeen
16	752	LA	D.L. Mud, Inc	Abbeville
16	762	CA	Montrose Chemical Corp	Torrance
16	785	CA	Synartek, Inc. (Building 1)	Santa Clara
16	796	FL	Wingate Road Munic Incinerat Dump	Fort Lauderdale
17	822	PA	Eastern Diversified Metals	Hometown
17	840	NJ	Witco Chemical Corp. (Oakland Pl)	Oakland
18	870	GA	Frestone Tire (Albany Plant)	Albany
18	889	TN	Mallory Capacitor Co	Waynesboro
19	910	DE	Sussex County Landfill No. 5	Laurel
19	927	PA	CryoChem, Inc	Woman

\* State top priority site.  
 † Sites are placed in groups corresponding to groups of 50 on the final NPL.  
 Number of New Final Sites: 59.

NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST, FEDERAL FACILITY SITES, NEW FINAL (BY GROUP), OCTOBER 1989

NPL Group 1	State	Site Name	City/County
1	WA	Hanford 200-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
1	WA	Hanford 300-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
1	CO	Rocky Flats Plant (USDOE)	Golden
2	PA	Naval Air Develop Center (8 Areas)	Warminster Township
2	OH	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Dayton
6	WA	Hanford 100-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
12	WA	Hanford 200-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
14	PR	Naval Security Group Activity	Sabana Seca
15	WA	Naval Undersea Warf Sta (4 Areas)	Keyport
15	NC	Camp Lejeune Military Reservation	Onslow County
17	MD	Aber Prov Ground-Michaelsville Lt	Aberdeen

\* State top priority site.  
 † Sites are placed in groups corresponding to groups of 50 on the final NPL.  
 Number of New Final Federal Facility Sites: 11.

EPA read all comments received on these sites, including late comments. In past rules, EPA responded even to late comments. However, given the volume and number of late comments received and the need to make final decisions on all currently proposed sites prior to the date that the revised HRS takes effect,

EPA was not able to respond to all late comments received for sites in this rule. EPA has responded (in the Support Document) to those comments received no later than October 31, 1988 for all sites included in this final rule which were proposed in Updates #2, 3, 5, 6, and 7, and to those comments received

no later than September 12, 1988 for sites in this final rule which were proposed in Update #8. (EPA had previously indicated at the time of proposal of Update #7 and Update #8 that it may no longer be able to consider late comments (53 FR 23690, June 24, 1988 and 54 FR 19527, May 5, 1989)).

**Name Revisions**

The names of two sites addressed in this final rule have been changed in response to information received during the comment period. The changes are intended to reflect more accurately the location, nature, or potential sources of contamination at the site:

- Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base, Onslow County, NC changed to Camp Lejeune Military Reservation

- Amstak, Inc. (Hunter Spring Division), Hatfield, PA changed to North Penn—Area 2

**VI. Disposition of All Proposed Sites/ Federal Facility Sites**

To date, EPA has proposed nine major updates to the NPL as well as special update of two ATSDR sites. Taking into account this rule and the additional NPL final rule published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, 150 sites and 63

Federal facility sites continue to be proposed pending completion of response to comment, resolution of technical issues and resolution of various policy issues (Table 3). All sites that remain proposed will be considered for future final rules. Although these sites remain proposed, the comment periods have not been extended or reopened.

**TABLE 3.—NPL PROPOSALS**

Update No.	Date/Federal Register citation	Number of sites/Federal facility sites	
		Proposed	Remaining proposed
1	9/8/83; 48 FR 40674	132/1	1/0
2	10/15/84; 49 FR 40320	206/36	17/3
3	4/10/85; 50 FR 14115	26/6	0/1
4	9/18/85; 50 FR 37950	38/3	1/2
5	6/10/86; 51 FR 21069	43/2	0/0
6	1/22/87; 52 FR 2482	63/1	13/0
7	8/24/88; 53 FR 23066	215/14	103/5
8	5/5/89; 54 FR 19526	10/0	5/0
9	7/14/89; 54 FR 29820	0/52	0/52
ATSDR	8/16/89; 54 FR 33846	2/0	2/0
<b>Total</b>		<b>735/115</b>	<b>150/63</b>

**VII. Contents of the NPL**

The 70 new sites added to the NPL in today's rule (Table 1) have been incorporated into the NPL in order of their HRS scores except where EPA modified the order to reflect top priorities designated by the States, as discussed in greater detail in previous rulemakings, the most recent on March 31, 1989 (54 FR 13296).

The NPL appears at the end of this final rule and will be codified as part of Appendix B to the NCP. Sites on the NPL are arranged according to their scores on the HRS. The NPL is presented in groups of 50 sites to emphasize the minor differences in HRS scores do not necessarily represent significantly different levels of risk. Except for the first group, the score range within the groups, as indicated in the list, is less than 4 points. EPA considers the sites within a group to have approximately the same priority for response actions. For convenience, the sites are numbered.

One site—the Lansdowne Radiation Site in Lansdowne, PA—was placed on the NPL on September 16, 1985 (50 FR 37630) because it met the requirements of the NCP at section 300.66(b)(4), as explained in section III of this rule; it has an HRS score less than 28.50, and appears at the end of the list.

This rule adds 11 new sites to the Federal facility section of the NPL by group number.

Each entry on the NPL contains the name of the facility and the State and city or county in which it is located. In the past, each entry was accompanied by one or more notations reflecting the status of response and cleanup activities at the site at the time this list was prepared. EPA is developing a report summarizing response activities at NPL sites. In the interim, information on activities at the new final sites is available upon request to the appropriate Regional Office.

**VIII. Regulatory Impact Analysis**

The costs of cleanup actions that may be taken at sites are not directly attributable to placement on the NPL, as explained below. Therefore, the Agency has determined that this rulemaking is not a "major" regulation under Executive Order 12291. EPA has conducted a preliminary analysis of economic implications of today's amendment to the NCP. EPA believes that the kinds of economic effects associated with this revision are generally similar to those effects identified in the regulatory impact analysis (RIA) prepared in 1982 for the revisions to the NCP pursuant to section 105 of CERCLA and the economic analysis prepared when amendments to the NCP were proposed (50 FR 5882, February 12, 1985). The Agency believes the anticipated economic effects related to adding these 70 sites to the NPL can be characterized in terms of the

conclusions of the earlier RIA and the most recent economic analysis. This rule was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review as required by Executive Order 12291.

**Costs**

EPA has determined that this rulemaking is not a "major" regulation under Executive Order 12291 because inclusion of a site on the NPL does not itself impose any costs. It does not establish that EPA will necessarily undertake remedial action, nor does it require any action by a private party or determine its liability for site response costs. Costs that arise out of site responses result from site-by-site decisions about what actions to take, not directly from the act of listing itself. Nonetheless, it is useful to consider the costs associated with responding to all sites included in this rulemaking.

The major events that follow the proposed listing of a site on the NPL are a search for potentially responsible parties and a remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) to determine if remedial actions will be undertaken at a site. Design and construction of the selected remedial alternative follow completion of the RI/FS, and operation and maintenance (O&M) activities may continue after construction has been completed.

EPA initially bears costs associated with responsible party searches. Responsible parties may bear some or

APPENDIX B.—NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST (BY RANK), OCTOBER 1989—Continued

NPL Rank	EPA Reg	State	Site Name	City/County
883	06	AR	Jacksonville Municipal Landfill	Jacksonville
884	06	AR	Rogers Road Municipal Landfill	Jacksonville
885	03	VA	Saltville Waste Disposal Pond	Saltville
886	04	SC	Palmetto Recycling, Inc.	Columbia
887	01	MA	Shpack Landfill	Norton/Attleboro
888	03	PA	Kimberton Site	Kimberton Borough
889	04	TN	Mellory Capacitor Co.	Waynesboro
890	01	MA	Norwood PCBs	Norwood
891	02	NY	Warwick Landfill	Warwick
892	02	NY	Sidney Landfill	Sidney
893	16	WA	Reeloids Lab (Yakima)	Yakima
894	06	IN	Lemon Lane Landfill	Bloomington
895	06	IN	TN-State Plating	Columbus
896	10	ID	Amroom (Drader Enterprise)	Rathdrum
897	01	NH	Coakley Landfill	North Hampton
898	04	NC	Potter's Septic Tank Service Pits	Masco
899	04	NC	ABC One Hour Cleaners	Jacksonville
900	03	PA	Fischer & Porter Co.	Warriner

Group 19 (HRS Scores 28.96-29.50, except for health-advisory sites)

901	03	PA	Elizabethtown Landfill	Elizabethtown
902	06	AR	Arkwood, Inc.	Omaha
903	06	CA	Jibboom Junkyard	Sacramento
904	02	NJ	A. O. Polymer	Sparta Township
905	05	WI	Wausau Ground Water Contamination	Wausau
906	02	NJ	Dover Municipal Well 4	Dover Township
907	02	NJ	Rockaway Township Wells	Rockaway
908	02	NJ	Pohatcong Valley Ground Water Con.	Warren County
909	02	NJ	Garden State Cleaners Co.	Minotola
910	03	DE	Sussex County Landfill No. 5	Laurel
911	05	WI	Delevan Municipal Well #4	Delevan
912	07	MO	North-U Drive Well Contamination	Springfield
913	09	CA	San Gabriel Valley (Area 3)	Altamira
914	09	CA	San Gabriel Valley (Area 4)	La Puente
915	09	CA	Modesto Ground Water Contamin.	Modesto
916	10	WA	American Lake Gardens	Tacoma
917	10	WA	Greenacres Landfill	Spokane County
918	10	WA	Northside Landfill	Spokane
919	06	OK	Sand Springs Petrochemical Complx.	Sand Springs
920	06	TX	Pease Chemical Co.	Fort Worth
921	05	MN	East Bethel Demolition Landfill	East Bethel Township
922	06	TX	Triangle Chemical Co.	Bridge City
923	02	NJ	PJP Landfill	Jersey City
924	03	PA	Craig Farm Drum	Parker
925	06	IL	Belvidere Municipal Landfill	Belvidere
926	07	MO	Bee Cee Manufacturing Co.	Malden
927	03	PA	CryoChem, Inc.	Worman
928	02	NJ	Kauffman & Mintzer, Inc.	Jobstown
929	03	PA	Lansdowne Radiation Site	Lansdowne

\* - State top priority site.  
Number of NPL Sites: 929.

NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST, FEDERAL SECTION (BY GROUP), OCTOBER 1989

NPL Groups <sup>1</sup>	State	Site Name	City/County
1	WA	Hanford 200-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
1	WA	Hanford 300-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
1	CO	Rocky Flats Plant (USDOE)	Golden
1	NM	Oil West Metals (USSBA)	Lemitar
1	MO	Weldon Spring (USDOE/Army)	St. Charles County
2	TN	Millan Army Ammunition Plant	Millan
2	CO	Rocky Mountain Arsenal	Adams County
2	CA	McClellan AFB (Ground Water Cont)	Sacramento
2	PA	Naval Air Develop Center (9 Areas)	Warminster Township
2	OH	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Dayton
4	AL	Anniston Army Depot (SE Ind Area)	Anniston
4	GA	Robins AFB (Lndll #4/Studge Lag)	Houston County
4	NE	Comhusker Army Ammunition Plant	Hall County
4	NJ	Naval Air Engineering Center	Lakewood
4	UT	Hill Air Force Base	Ogden
5	NJ	W.R. Grace/Wayne Int Stor (USDOE)	Wayne Township
7	WA	Hanford 100-Area (USDOE)	Benton County
7	UT	Ogden Defense Depot	Ogden
7	CA	Sacramento Army Depot	Sacramento
7	IL	Sangamo/Crab Orchard NWR (USDOE)	Carleville
7	ME	Brunswick Naval Air Station	Brunswick

NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST, FEDERAL FACILITIES (BY GROUP), OCTOBER 1989—Continued

NPL Group <sup>1</sup>	State	Site Name	City/County
4	CA	Shasta Army Depot	Lathrop
8	OK	Tinker AFB (Soldier Cr/Stdg 9001)	Oklahoma City
8	CA	Lawrence Livermore Lab (J8DOE)	Livermore
8	WA	McChord AFB (Wash Rack/Treatment)	Tacoma
8	IL	Savanna Army Depot Activity	Savanna
10	CA	Horton Air Force Base	San Bernardino
11	CA	Castle Air Force Base	Merced
11	PA	Lattisberry Army Depot (PDO Area)	Franklin County
11	NJ	Fort Dix (Landfill Site)	Pemberton Township
11	AL	Alabama Army Ammunition Plant	Childersburg
12	WA	Hanford 1100-Area (J8DOE)	Benton County
12	DE	Dover Air Force Base	Dover
13	IL	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant (LAP Area)	Joliet
14	PR	Naval Security Group Activity	Sabana Seca
14	PA	Lattisberry Army Depot (SE Area)	Chambersburg
14	NY	Gettys Air Force Base	Rome
14	VA	Defense General Supply Center	Charterfield County
14	WA	Fort Lewis (Landfill No. 6)	Tacoma
15	MN	Twin Cities Air Force (SAR Lndfill)	Minneapolis
15	MO	Lake City Army Plant (RW Lagoons)	Independence
15	WA	Naval Undersea Wharf Sta (4 Areas)	Keyport
15	NC	Camp Lejeune Military Reservation	Onslow County
16	IL	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant (Mfg Area)	Joliet
16	WA	Fairchild Air Force Base (4 Areas)	Spokane County
16	TX	Long Star Army Ammunition Plant	Texasburg
17	OR	Umatilla Army Depot (Lagoons)	Hermiston
17	MD	Aber Pro Ground-Michaelville Lt	Aberdeen
17	WA	Bangor Ordnance Disposal	Bramerton
18	LA	Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant	Doyline
18	CA	Moffett Naval Air Station	Sunnyvale
19	CA	Mather AFB (AC&W Disposal Site)	Sacramento

\*State top priority site.

<sup>1</sup> Sites are placed in groups corresponding to groups of 50 on the final NPL. Number of NPL Federal Facility Sites: 52.

[FR Doc. 89-23337 Filed 10-5-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5500-00-M